Understanding the Underlying Event

G.G. Barnaföldi in collaboration with A.N. Mishra, G. Paic, and G. Bíró

Support: *Hungarian OTKA grants, NK123815, K135515 Wigner GPU Laboratory*
Ref: arXiv:2108.13938

ELTE TTK ElmFiz Seminar, Budapest, 19th October 2021

Eötvös Loránd Research Network

Outline

1) Earlier studies

– What is UE? Why is this important for in HEP?

→ theory, experiment, measures

2) New developments on UE

– Angular properties measures

→ multiplicity, p_T spectra, parameter derivatives

→ Tsallis thermometer

3) Comparison to event shape variable

– Spherocity measures and cross check

→ Conclusions: Extended UE definition

Anatomy of a proton-proton event



Anatomy of a proton-proton event



Anatomy of a proton-proton event



Anatomy of a proton-proton event



So what Uderlying Event is?

- **Theoretical point:**
  - Mainly non-perturbative QCD effect
    - → Initial & final state radiation
    - → Multiple parton interaction
    - → Color Reconnection (CR)
    - → intrinsic k_T
    - → Hadronization



So what Uderlying Event is?

- **Theoretical point:**
  - Mainly non-perturbative QCD effect
    - → Initial & final state radiation
    - → Multiple parton interaction
    - → Color Reconnection (CR)
    - → intrinsic k_T
    - → Hadronization
- **Experimental point**
  - Pedestal-like effects
    - → Activity in the event over MB
    - → Beam remnants (pile up)
    - → Trigger bias (jet criterion)





Earlier studies, motivation

Geometrical structure of an event



Geometrical structure of an event



Standard CDF definition



How to separate jet & UE?

- **Jet finding & elimination:**
  - Surrounding Band (SB method), Find a jet, THEN define SBs
  - IF SB_1 and SB_2 are equal, THEN eliminate the jet
    - → expensive (high statistics)
    - → sensitive to cuts
- **Correlation & background**
  - Traditional method by CDF
    - → burte force
    - → geometry info only



How to separate jet & UE?

- **Jet finding & elimination:**
  - Surrounding Band (SB method), Find a jet, THEN define SBs
  - IF SB_1 and SB_2 are equal, THEN eliminate the jet
    - → expensive (high statistics)
    - → sensitive to cuts
- **Correlation & background**
  - Traditional method by CDF
    - → burte force
    - → geometry info only



How to quantify & compare events?

- **Transverse spherocity:**

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}\left(\frac{\sum_i |\overrightarrow{p_{\mathrm{T}}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}|}{\sum_i p_{\mathrm{T}i}}\right)^2$$

- **Thrust:**

$$T_{\min} \equiv \frac{\sum_i |\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i} \cdot \hat{n}_m|}{\sum_i p_{\mathrm{T},i}}$$



→ NO need for jet fin

→ Momentum & geometry infos

How to quantify & compare events?

- **Precise spectra description**
  - from low- to high-p_T

$$f(m_T) = A \cdot \left[1 + \frac{q-1}{T_s}(m_T - m)\right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

  - in multiplicity classes (pp, pA, AA)

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{dN_{ch}}}{\mathrm{dy}}\right|_{y=0} = 2\pi A T_s \left[\frac{(2-q)m^2+2mT_s+2T_s^2}{(2-q)(3-2q)}\right] \times \left[1 + \frac{q-1}{T_s}m\right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

  - With PID:

$$\pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, K_s^0, K^{*0}, p(\bar{p}), \Phi, \Lambda, \Xi^{\pm}, \Sigma^{\pm}, \Xi^0, \Omega$$

  - Wide range:

	pp	pA	AA
CM energy (GeV)	7000, 13000	5020	130-5020
Multiplicity range	2.2-25.7	4.3-45	13.4-2047



How to quantify & compare events?

- **QCD-inherited scaling properties**

$$f(m_T) = A \cdot \left[1 + \frac{q-1}{T_s}(m_T - m)\right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

  - Parameter scaling with √s & multiplicity

$$\mathrm{A}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = A_0 + A_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + A_2 \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle$$

$$\mathrm{T}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = T_0 + T_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + T_2 \ln\ln \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle,$$

$$\mathrm{q}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = q_0 + q_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + q_2 \ln\ln \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle,$$



How to quantify & compare events?

- **QCD-inherited scaling properties**

$$f(m_T) = A \cdot \left[1 + \frac{q-1}{T_s}(m_T - m)\right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

  - Parameter scaling with √s & multiplicity

$$\mathrm{A}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = A_0 + A_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + A_2 \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle$$

$$\mathrm{T}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = T_0 + T_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + T_2 \ln\ln \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle,$$

$$\mathrm{q}(\sqrt{s_{NN}}, \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle, m) = q_0 + q_1 \ln \frac{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}{m} + q_2 \ln\ln \langle N_{ch}/\eta \rangle,$$



- **Thermodynamical consistency**

$$\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{g} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} T f, \quad \mathrm{N} = \mathrm{n}\mathrm{V} = \mathrm{g}\mathrm{V} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f^q,$$

$$\mathrm{s} = \mathrm{g} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{E-\mu}{T} f^q + f\right], \quad \varepsilon = g \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E f$$

New development to understand UE

Angular structure of an event



Standard CDF definition

Angular structure of an event



Standard CDF definition



Case I: Opening angle

Angular structure of an event



Standard CDF definition



Case I: Opening angle



Case II : Sliding angle

Angular structure of an event

- **Case I: Opening angle**
  - We open Δφ angle in steps of 20°. The binning starts from -10° to 10° and the last bin covers full azimuthal space i.e. -180° to 180° (MB). Case I is useful to investigate the evolution of the thermodynamical observables of the system.
- **Case II: sliding angle**
  - We make slices of the Δφ of size 20°. In this case, the results for the first bin 0 to 20°. are reported in two ways: including and excluding the leading particle in the result. Case II is a tool for exploring the geometrical structure of the Underlying Event.



Case I: Opening angle



Case II : Sliding angle

The simulated data

- **PYTHIA_v8240 Monash 2013 tune**
  - 1 billion non-diffractive collisions of pp
  - C.m. energy: $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV
  - Includes 2→ 2 hard scattering process, followed by initial and final state parton showering, multiparton interactions, and the final hadronization process.
  - The events having at least three primary charged particle with transverse
  - Min. momentum: $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c
  - Pseudorapidity: $|\eta| < 0.8$
  - UE: Color Reconnection (CR, Multiple Parton Interaction (MPI)



Case I: Opening angle ”Pacman”



Case I: Opening angle

Case I: Opening angle ”Pacman”



Case I: Opening angle

Case I: Multiplicity/MB

- **PYTHIA multiplicity with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - More multiplicity in the NS
  - Getting flat in NS+TS
  - NS+TS+AS are mainly flat as reaching MB



Case I: Opening angle



Case I: Multiplicity/MB

- **PYTHIA multiplicity with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - More multiplicity in the NS
  - Getting flat in NS+TS
  - NS+TS+AS are mainly flat as reaching MB



Case I: Opening angle



Case I: p_T spectrum

- **PYTHIA spectra with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - Low p_T varies (T)
  - High p_T is constant (q)
  - Full opening is MB



Case I: Opening angle



Case I: p_T spectrum

- **PYTHIA spectra with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - Low p_T varies (T)
  - High p_T is constant (q)
  - Full opening is MB



Case I: Tsallis fit parameters

- **PYTHIA spectra with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations (red line)
  - Opening the angle → constant T, lowest at MB
  - Opening the angle → constant q
  - Multiplicity ~ A
  - Full opening is MB



Case I: Opening angle



Case I: derivatives of the parameters

- **PYTHIA spectra parameter derivatives with opening angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Opening the angle → constant T & q

$$\frac{\delta T_s}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \to 0 \quad \& \quad \frac{\delta q}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \to 0$$

  - No change beyond NS
  - Multiplicity ~ A
  - Full opening is MB



Case I: Opening angle



Case II: Sliding angle ”cake slices”

Case II : Sliding angle

Case II: Sliding angle ”cake slices”



Case II : Sliding angle

Case II: Multiplicity/MB

- **PYTHIA multiplicity with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - More multiplicity az NS
  - TS & AS are mainly flat
  - With leading particle deviation is increased



Case II : Sliding angle



Case II: Multiplicity/MB

- **PYTHIA multiplicity with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - More multiplicity az NS
  - TS & AS are mainly flat
  - With leading particle

    deviation is increased



Case II: p_T spectrum

- **PYTHIA spectra with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - Low p_T is constant (T)
  - High p_T varies (q)
  - NS/AS are similar
  - Need to consider w/o leading particle



Case II : Sliding angle



Case II: p_T spectrum

- **PYTHIA spectra with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations
  - Low p_T is constant (T)
  - High p_T varies (q)
  - NS/AS are similar
  - Need to consider w/o leading particle



Case II : Sliding angle



Case II: Tsallis fit parameters

- **PYTHIA spectra with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - Good fits with the parametrizations (red line)
  - NS → highest T
  - NS/AS → highest q
  - TS → constant q, T
  - Multiplicity ~ A



Case II : Sliding angle



Case II: derivatives of the parameters

- **PYTHIA spectra parameter derivatives with sliding angle**
  - PYTHIAs model UE: CR & MPI
  - TS (+AS) → constant T & q

$$\frac{\delta T_s}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \neq 0 \quad \& \quad \frac{\delta q}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \neq 0 \qquad \text{(for NS \& AS)}$$

$$\frac{\delta T_s}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \approx 0 \quad \& \quad \frac{\delta q}{\delta(\Delta\phi)} \approx 0 \qquad \text{(for TS)}$$

  - NS → highest T
  - NS/AS → highest q
  - Multiplicity ~ A



Case II : Sliding angle



On the Tsallis-thermometer

- **Case I: opening angle**
  - Need UE in PYTHIA → CR & MPI
  - NS → highest T, lowest q
  - TS/AS → constant q, lowering T
  - MB → constant q, lowest T



On the Tsallis-thermometer

- **Case I: opening angle**
  - Need UE in PYTHIA → CR & MPI
  - NS → highest T, lowest q
  - TS/AS → constant q, lowering T
  - MB → constant q, lowest T
- **Case II: sliding angle**
  - Need UE in PYTHIA → CR & MPI
  - NS (with leading) is fully different highest T & highest q
  - Beyond NS T is getting constant → Wider range of UE, than in CDF



Cross-check with event shape variable

Event shape variable: spherocity

Simple 2-component model

- Isotrope: flat low p_T distribution
- Jet: flat high p_T distribution





Event shape variable: spherocity

Simple 2-component model

- Isotrope: flat low p_T distribution
- Jet: flat high p_T distribution



Event shape variable: spherocity

Simple 2-component model

- Isotrope: flat low p_T distribution
- Jet: flat high p_T distribution

Spherosity definition

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}\left(\frac{\Sigma_i\ |\vec{p}_{T_i} \times \hat{n}|}{\Sigma_i\ p_{T_i}}\right)^2$$





→ Event selection based on spherocity classes is available in ALICE

Case II: Spherocity vs. Tsallis termometer

- **Spherocity relative to the MB defines wider UE**



Case II : Sliding angle



→ Wider range of UE [40,140], than in CDF [60,120]

Case II: Spherocity vs. Tsallis termometer

- **Spherocity relative to the MB defines wider UE**
- **Tsallis-thermometer presents the same**





→ Wider range of UE [40,140], than in CDF [60,120]

Case II: Spherocity vs. Tsallis termometer

- **Spherocity relative to the MB defines wider UE**
- **Tsallis-thermometer presents the same**



→ Wider range of UE [40,140], than in CDF [60,120]

Case II: Parameters in spherocity classes

- **PYTHIA spectra with sliding angle in S_0 classes**
  - The more jetty the event, the angular variation is stronger.
  - Minimal activity (lowest q & T values are in the isotropic case.





→ Isotropic event are closer to UE, activity is more than MB

Conclusions

- **Could we understand UE?**
  - Not yet, but getting closer by quantifying them
    → Model UE: PYTHIA (CR, MPI), HIJING (minijet)
    → UE properties has been charaterized
    → Tsallis-Pareto fits well in narrow slices

- **To take away...**
  - Tsallis-thermometer present wider UE
    In degrees CDF: [60,120] → [40,140]
  - Event shape classification support the model

Conclusions

- **Could we understand UE?**
  - Not yet, but getting closer by quantifying them
    - → Model UE: PYTHIA (CR, MPI), HIJING (minijet)
    - → UE properties has been charaterized
    - → Tsallis-Pareto fits well in narrow slices

- **To take away...**
  - Tsallis-thermometer present wider UE
    In degrees CDF: [60,120] → [40,140]
  - Event shape classification support the model

→ Next stage can be in a more complex system

BACKUP

Relative spherocity/MB Case I and II



(a) Case I



(b) Case II

Case I: Parameters vs spherocity



Spherocity model with multiplicity



Thermodynamical consistency?

Thermodynamical consistency: fulfilled up to a high degree

$$\mathrm{P} \;= \mathrm{g} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} T f,$$

$$\mathrm{N} \;= \mathrm{nV} = \mathrm{gV} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f^q,$$

$$\mathrm{s} \;= \mathrm{g} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{E-\mu}{T} f^q + f \right],$$

$$\varepsilon = g \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E f$$



Compare EoS to data: Lattice QCD (parton) & Biró-Jakovác parton-hadron