

Estimating Compressibility by Maximal-mass Compact Star Observations

Balázs E. Szigeti^{1 2}, G. G. Barnaföldi², P. Pósfay², and A. Jakovác²

¹Eötvös Loránd University

²Wigner Research Centre for Physics


Eur.Phys.J.ST 229 (2020) 22-23, 3605-3614

Pub.Astr.Soc.Aust., Volume 35, e019, (2018)

Eur.Phys.J.ST 229, 22-23, 3615-3628 (2020)



Motivation



- Different nuclear models produce very similar neutron star parameters?
 - What is the reason behind this?
 - How can it be circumvented?
 - What can be learned from neutron star measurements?
- What is the connection between the microscopic parameters of the nuclear matter and neutron star observables?



Extended Walecka-model ($\sigma - \omega$ model)

- **Scalar meson** $U_i(\sigma) = \gamma_3\sigma^3 + \gamma_4\sigma^4$

- Electron kinetic and mass
- Kinetic terms: $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_n)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\partial - m_N + g_\sigma\sigma - g_\omega\omega + g_\rho\rho^2\tau_a)\Psi + \bar{\Psi}_e(i\partial - m_e)\Psi_e + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2)\sigma - U_i(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^\mu\omega_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\rho^{a\mu\nu}\rho_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\rho_\mu^a\rho_\mu^a$$

- Vector mesons

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu\rho_\nu^a - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu^a + g_\rho\epsilon^{abc}\rho_\nu^b\rho_\mu^c$$



Extended Walecka-model ($\sigma - \omega$ model)

- Scalar meson $U_i(\sigma) = \gamma_3\sigma^3 + \gamma_4\sigma^4$
- **Electron kinetic and mass**
- Kinetic terms: $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_n)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\cancel{\partial} - m_N + g_\sigma\sigma - g_\omega\cancel{\omega} + g_\rho\cancel{\rho}^2\tau_a)\Psi + \bar{\Psi}_e(i\cancel{\partial} - m_e)\Psi_e + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2)\sigma - U_i(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^\mu\omega_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\rho^{a\mu\nu}\rho_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\rho_\mu^a\rho_\mu^a$$

- Vector mesons

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu\rho_\nu^a - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu^a + g_\rho\epsilon^{abc}\rho_\nu^b\rho_\mu^c$$



Extended Walecka-model ($\sigma - \omega$ model)

- Scalar meson $U_i(\sigma) = \gamma_3\sigma^3 + \gamma_4\sigma^4$
- Electron kinetic and mass
- **Kinetic terms:** $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_n)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\cancel{\partial} - m_N + g_\sigma\sigma - g_\omega\cancel{\omega} + g_\rho\cancel{\rho}^2\tau_a)\Psi + \bar{\Psi}_e(i\cancel{\partial} - m_e)\Psi_e + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2)\sigma - U_i(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^\mu\omega_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\rho^{a\mu\nu}\rho_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\rho_\mu^a\rho_\mu^a$$

- Vector mesons

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu\rho_\nu^a - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu^a + g_\rho\epsilon^{abc}\rho_\nu^b\rho_\mu^c$$



Extended Walecka-model ($\sigma - \omega$ model)

- Scalar meson $U_i(\sigma) = \gamma_3\sigma^3 + \gamma_4\sigma^4$
- Electron kinetic and mass
- Kinetic terms: $\Psi = (\Psi_p, \Psi_n)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\partial - m_N + g_\sigma\sigma - g_\omega\omega + g_\rho\rho^2\tau_a)\Psi + \bar{\Psi}_e(i\partial - m_e)\Psi_e + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\partial^2 + m_\sigma^2)\sigma - U_i(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^\mu\omega_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\rho^{a\mu\nu}\rho_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\rho_\mu^a\rho_\mu^a$$

- **Vector mesons**

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu\rho_\nu^a - \partial_\nu\rho_\mu^a + g_\rho\epsilon^{abc}\rho_\nu^b\rho_\mu^c$$



Mean-Field Approximation

- Mean-field approximation at zero temperature and finite chemical potential
- Tree-level: $\omega = \omega_0$ and $\rho_0^3 = \rho$
- β -equilibrium: $\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e$
- Baryon number and electric charge conservation
- **Free-energy:**

$$f_T = f_F(m_N - g_\sigma\sigma, \mu_p - g_\omega\omega + g_\rho\rho) + f_F(m_N - g_\sigma\sigma, \mu_n - g_\omega\omega - g_\rho\rho) + f_f(m_e, \mu_e) + \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2 + U_i(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\rho^2 \quad (2)$$

- **Fermionic pressure:**

$$f_F(T, m, \mu) = -2T \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left[1 + e^{-\beta(E_k - \bar{\mu})} \right]$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) **Binding energy:** $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) Saturation density: $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) Nucleon effective mass $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) Nucleon Landau mass $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- (6) Asymmetry energy $a_{\text{sym}} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) Binding energy: $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) **Saturation density:** $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) Nucleon effective mass $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) Nucleon Landau mass $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- (6) Asymmetry energy $a_{\text{sym}} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) Binding energy: $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) Saturation density: $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) **Nucleon effective mass** $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) Nucleon Landau mass $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- (6) Asymmetry energy $a_{\text{sym}} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) Binding energy: $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) Saturation density: $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) Nucleon effective mass $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) **Nucleon Landau mass** $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- (6) Asymmetry energy $a_{\text{sym}} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) Binding energy: $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) Saturation density: $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) Nucleon effective mass $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) Nucleon Landau mass $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) **Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$**
- (6) Asymmetry energy $a_{\text{sym}} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Data Fit

The free parameters of the model are determined by using nuclear saturation data [Gle97].

The values used to fit the model

- (1) Binding energy: $B = -16.3 \text{ MeV}$
- (2) Saturation density: $n_0 = 0.153 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
- (3) Nucleon effective mass $m^* = 0.6m_N$
- (4) Nucleon Landau mass $m_L = 0.83m_N$
- (5) Compressibility: $K = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- (6) **Asymmetry energy** $a_{sym} = 32.5 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_L = \frac{k_F}{v_F} \quad v_F = \left. \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_F} \quad m_L = \sqrt{k_f^2 + m_{N,eff}^2}$$



Mass-Radius Diagrams

- Static, spherically symmetric space-time
- Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations (TOV)

$$\frac{dp(r)}{dr} = -\frac{G\epsilon(r)m(r)}{r^2} \left[1 + \frac{p(r)}{\epsilon(r)} \right] \left[1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 p(r)}{m(r)} \right] \left[1 - \frac{2Gm(r)}{r} \right]^{-1} \quad (5)$$
$$\frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \epsilon(r)$$

- We used core-crust model for the calculation
- Low density region: well known BPS nuclear EoS
- The calculated R in this case corresponds to the radius of the neutron star core



Maximal Mass Stars

- Determine the mass and radius of the maximal mass stars (MMS)
- Most relevant parameter: the Landau mass m_L . ($\delta m_L^{10x} > \delta K$)
- Linear dependence M_{maxM}, R_{MaxM} on the m_L
- Landau mass was optimized with fixed K, a_{sym} .

$$M_{maxM} [M_{\odot}] = 5.418 - 0.0043 m_L [\text{MeV}], \quad S = 0.008, \quad (6)$$

$$R_{maxM} [\text{km}] = 19.04 - 0.0104 m_L [\text{MeV}], \quad S = 0.172. \quad (7)$$

After fixing the m_L by the MMS observations we can also obtain linear, one-parameter dependence on the parameter K .

$$M_{maxM} [M_{\odot}] = 1.766 + 0.0011K [\text{MeV}], \quad S = 0.014,$$

$$R_{maxM} [\text{km}] = 8.880 + 0.0077K [\text{MeV}], \quad S = 0.14.$$




Observation Data

Type	Pulsar	$M_{maxR}[M_{\odot}]$	$m_L[\text{MeV}]$	$K[\text{MeV}]$	$R_{maxR}[\text{km}]$
MMS	PSR J0740+6620	$2.17^{+0.11}_{-0.10} *$	$748.39^{+63.3}_{-57.2}$	$351.8^{+115}_{-84.5}$	$11.25^{+1.06}_{-1.04}$
MMS	PSR J0348+0432	$2.01^{+0.04}_{-0.04} *$	$785.25^{+20.0}_{-20.3}$	$206.4^{+42.7}_{-20.5}$	$10.87^{+0.82}_{-0.80}$
MMS	PSR J1614-2230	$1.97^{+0.04}_{-0.04} *$	$794.47^{+20.1}_{-20.4}$	$170.0^{+15.5}_{-20.9}$	$10.77^{+0.82}_{-0.80}$


Table 1: The Landau mass, m_L and compressibility, K values calculated via eq. (6)- from measured pulsar mass data, assuming that these are maximal-mass neutron stars. Maximal radius of the maximal-mass neutron star is also calculated form eq. (7). [Ant+13; al20; Dem10]



K dependence of the mass of MMS



K dependence of the radius of MMS



Landau mass values:

Remark I.

The range of $550 \text{ MeV} < m_L < 800 \text{ MeV}$ is compatible with effective nucleon mass given by the various equation of state at saturation [Kl +06].

Remark II.

- Average Landau mass: $m_L = 776.0_{-84.9}^{+38.5} \text{ MeV}$
- Bayesian Analysis I. [Alv+20a]: (PSR J0030+0451 + PSR 0740+6620 + GW170817)
 - $m_L = 750_{-15}^{+15} \text{ MeV}$
- Bayesian Analysis II. [Alv+20b]: (PSR J0740+6620 + PSR J0348+0432 + GW170817 + PSR J0030+0451)
 - $m_L = 750_{-15}^{+15} \text{ MeV}$



Joint formula for mass and radius of MMS


Dependence on these variables are not independent any more, but can be factorized into joint formulae, including higher-order, cross-product terms for the $M_{maxM}(m_L, K)$ and $R_{maxM}(m_L, K)$ respectively:

$$M_{maxM} = 6.29 - 0.00574m_L[\text{MeV}] - 0.00379K[\text{MeV}] + 0.00000524m_L \cdot K[\text{MeV}^2]$$

$$R_{maxM} = 27.51 - 0.0239m_L[\text{MeV}] - 0.0241K[\text{MeV}] + 0.0000411m_L \cdot K[\text{MeV}^2]$$



Compressibility and Landau mass map



The nuclear model parameter space of K and m_L is plotted with values estimated from pulsar data of PSR J0740+6620, PSR J0348+0432, and PSR J1614-2230. Fit line and average microscopic data value with uncertainty is also marked [Ant+13; al20; Dem10].



Summary

Input:

- Extended Walecka-model
- Mean-Field Approximation

Method:

- Tollman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation
- Using the linear relations between the microscopic and macroscopic parameters
- Observation data

Results:

- Landau mass: $m_L = 776.0^{+38.5}_{-84.9}$ MeV
- Compressibility: $K = 242.7^{57.2}_{-28.0}$ MeV
- Radius: $R = 10.96^{+1.35}_{-1.00}$ km



References

Thank you for your time. Questions?

- [al20] Cromatie H. T. Fonseca E. Ransom S.M. et al. “Relativistic Shapiro delay measurements of an extremely massive millisecond pulsar”. Í: *Nature Astronomy* 4.72–76 (2020).
- [Alv+20a] David Alvarez-Castillo o.fl. “Studying the Landau mass parameter of the extended sigma-model for neutron star matter”. Í: *Physics of Particles and Nuclei* 51 (apr. 2020), bls. 725–729.
- [Alv+20b] David Alvarez-Castillo o.fl. “Studying the parameters of the extended $\sigma - \omega$ model for neutron star matter”. Í: *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* 229 (2020), bls. 3615–3628.
- [Ant+13] John Antoniadis o.fl. “A Massive Pulsar in a Compact Relativistic Binary”. Í: *Science* 340.6131 (2013). ISSN: 0036-8075. DOI: 10.1126/science.1233232. eprint: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/340/6131/1233232.full.pdf>. URL: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/340/6131/1233232>.



References (cont.)

- [Dem10] Ransom S. et al Demorest P. Pennucci T. “A two-solar-mass neutron star measured using Shapiro delay”. Í: *Nature* 467 (2010), bls. 1081–1083.
- [Gle97] N. K. Glendenning. *Compact stars: Nuclear physics, particle physics, and general relativity*. 1997.
- [Klä+06] T. Klähn o.fl. “Constraints on the high-density nuclear equation of state from the phenomenology of compact stars and heavy-ion collisions”. Í: *Phys. Rev. C* 74 (3 sep. 2006), bls. 035802. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.74.035802. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.035802>.

